

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2005/001168

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 C12N15/11 C12Q1/68

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 C12N C12Q

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, EMBASE, BIOSIS, CHEM ABS Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	HACKERMÜLLER J. ET AL.,: "towards a functional classification of ARE protein interactions" GENOME INFORMATICS, vol. 13, 2002, pages 326-327, XP002299091 the whole document	1-18
P, X	WO 2004/099411 A (NOVARTIS AG; NOVARTIS PHARMA GMBH; AUER, MANFRED; HACKERMUELLER, JOERG) 18 November 2004 (2004-11-18) the whole document	1-18

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- * & * document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

18 August 2005

Date of mailing of the international search report

26/08/2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Sprinks, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2005/001168

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	ZUKER M: "CALCULATING NUCLEIC ACID SECONDARY STRUCTURE" CURRENT OPINION IN STRUCTURAL BIOLOGY, CURRENT BIOLOGY LTD., LONDON, GB, vol. 10, 2000, pages 303-310, XP001031335 ISSN: 0959-440X the whole document -----	1-18
A	MATHEWS D H ET AL: "Expanded sequence dependence of thermodynamic parameters improves prediction of RNA secondary structure" JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, LONDON, GB, vol. 288, no. 5, 21 May 1999 (1999-05-21), pages 911-940, XP004462596 ISSN: 0022-2836 the whole document -----	1-18
A	RIVAS E. & EDDY S.R.: "A DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING ALGORITHM FOR rna STRUCTURE PREDICTION INCLUDING PSEUDOKNOTS" J. MOL. BIOL., vol. 285, 1999, pages 2053-2068, XP004464314 the whole document -----	1-18

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/001168

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.: 11, 12, 14, 15
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Although claims 16 and 17 might be considered to be directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 11, 12, 14-18
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.1

Although claims 16 and 17 might be considered to be directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 11,12,14-18

Present claims 11,12,14-18 relate (at least partially) to a compound defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely structurally undefined agents for manipulating RNA. The claims cover all compounds having this characteristic or property, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such compounds (i.e. those whose sequence is provided in the application). In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the compounds by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible. Consequently, search has only been carried out for claims 11,12,14-18 to the extent of the oligonucleotides whose sequence is provided in the application.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

Information on patent family members

PCT/EP2005/001168

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (January 2004)